Ţħ

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Sixteenth Floor 191 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 404 572-6600 Facsimile 404 572-6999 www.pgfm.com

PLEASE RESPOND: Washington Address

Sbah Poor 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.Y. Washington, D.C. 20004 202 347-0066 Facsiggle 202 624-7222

Direct Dial: 202-824-7218 e-mail: croistac@pgfm.com

Direct Dial: 202-6:24-7930 e-mail: bkappel@pgfm.com

October 2, 1997

**VIA HAND DELIVERY** 

Kamau Philbert, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re:

MURs 4322 and 4650

Apparent Violations of Confidentiality Provisions of FECA

Dear Mr. Philbert:

We are writing to inform you of several apparent violations of 2 U.S.C. 437g(a)(12) and 11 C.F.R. 111.21 in the above-referenced matters. Yesterday, The Salt Lake Tribune published an article entitled "FEC Starts Greene Probe" (attached) in which three former employees of Enid '94 – David Harmer, Kaylin Loveland, and Peter Valcarce – confirmed to the press that they had been interviewed by representatives of the Office of General Counsel within the past two months. Moreover, the former campaign workers characterized the interviews as "wide-ranging" and apparently gave that newspaper the impression that "the [FEC] investigation is a new one and not limited to allegations and issues raised in Greene's complaint." In Mr. Harmer's case, he told the Tribune that "he was interviewed for about four hours on consecutive days just two weeks ago."

As you know, the Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits any person from disclosing the existence of an FEC investigation without the written consent of the person who is the subject of that investigation. 2 U.S.C. 437g(a)(12)(A). Violations of section 437g(a)(12) are punishable by civil penalties of up to \$2,000. Knowing and willful violations of section 437g(a)(12) are punishable by civil penalties of up to \$5,000. 2 U.S.C. 437g(a)(12)(B).



Kamau Philbert, Esq. October 2, 1997 Page 2

There appears to be little doubt that the three named campaign workers have violated 2 U.S.C. 437g(a)(12). The Commission's regulations implementing section 437g(a)(12) clearly state that "no . . . investigation conducted by the Commission . . . shall be made public . . . by any person or entity without the written consent of the respondent with respect to whom . . . the investigation [is] conducted . . . ." 11 C.F.R. 111.21(a). The Commission has consistently interpreted 2 U.S.C. 437g(a)(12) and 11 C.F.R. 111.21 to mean that no one may discuss with the press "any action taken by the Commission in an investigation until the case is closed or the respondent waives the right to confidentiality." Advisory Opinions 1995-1, 1994-32. Members of the federal election bar have uniformly understood 2 U.S.C. 437g(a)(12) and 11 C.F.R. 111.21 to mean that "[w]ithout the respondent's written consent, no aspect of the Commission's investigation may be made public by any person, including Commission members and employees." Baran, The Federal Election Commission: A Guide for Corporate Counsel, 22 Ariz. L. Rev. 519, 532-33 (1980)(emphasis added).

None of our clients – D. Forrest Greene, Enid Greene, Enid '94 or Enid '96 – gave their consent for these individuals to discuss with the press the Commission's ongoing investigation of Ms. Greene's 1994 campaign. Accordingly, by disclosing to the press the fact that they had been interviewed by the Office of General Counsel, by discussing the scope of the interviews, and by speculating as to the targets of the investigation, the three former campaign workers have apparently committed multiple violations of 2 U.S.C. 437g(a)(12) and 11 C.F.R. 111.21.

Moreover, there is at least some reason to believe that these violations were knowing and willful. All three of the former campaign workers cited FECA's confidentiality provisions in declining to discuss specific issues raised in their interviews. The fact that they then confirmed that they had been interviewed by the Office of General Counsel and felt free to characterize the interviews as "wide-ranging" indicates that the violations were either willful or that the witnesses had not been adequately advised as to their duties under the Act by the Office of General Counsel.

This prohibition, of course, does not apply to the respondent. Stockman v. FEC, No. 1:95-CV-1049, 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10171, at \*12-13 (E.D. Tex. June 13, 1996).



Kamau Philbert, Esq. October 2, 1997 Page 3

We trust that, having been informed of these apparent violations, the Commission will take appropriate action.

Sincerely,

Charles H. Roistacher

Brett G. Kappel

FOR POWELL, GOLDSTEIN, FRAZER & MURPHY LLP Counsel to D. Forrest Greene, Enid Greene, Enid '94 and Enid '96

cc: Lawrence Noble, Esq.

D. Forrest Greene

Enid Greene

::ODMA\PCDOCS\WSH\61503\1

Bear Ubrult The full lake le hime

e, cutlings proposed variourease

on without decommentation.

lee most of Theses, a ciscussion most of the question and catealls.

I and vocal, the cound never beI are nower, they care to a disas when Howek told them tany
if seted from the building shortly
at the distribute of facility managir og was held at the Kerma Reiler because the adjacent Oquarh
were too small to become date

ress is lending the learning arged to the ston until after the blov. 4 vhach two nother three hoston ans items.

st corbdence in the board cofficty Porter of Rearns

is a for the board railled support or be urged that protestes be a keep that the support

## Starts Greene Probe

## BY DAN HARRIE

© 1997. THE SALT LAKE TRIBUNE

The Federal Election Commission has launched an investigation into Enid Greene's 1994 congressional campaign, and the admitted S1.8 million illegally funneled into her victorious election.

Three former campaign aides to the one-term Republican congresswoman from Salt Lake City confurmed to The Salt Lake Tribune that they have been interviewed by FEC investigators.

Greene, who recently moved back to Salt Lake City from Washington, D.C., sald Tuesday she was aware of the probe — and welcomed it.

"I'm talking with the FEC. We talk with them whenever they rake a request," she said. "I'd like to get this resolved once and for all."

Unlike the previous FBI and Justice Department probe into the tangled cash and political intrigue of Greene and her exchusband, Joe Waldholtz, the FEC investigation carries no threat of climinal prosecution. That earlier case ended in Waldholtz going to to prison for bank, election and tax fraud. Greene was cleared of crimes.

But millions of dollars in fines could be at stake in the FEC case.

"Krowing and willful" campaign-finance violations carry civil penalties up to double the amount involved — in this case \$.8 million.

The source of the cash illegally poured into Greene's victorious 1994 election was the candidate's father — retired stock broket D. Forrest Greene. A relative, like ary other individual, is allowed to contribute a maximum of \$3,000 per election cycle.

Throughout the 1994 campaign and for most of 1995, Greene maintained the money legally

92:00 UBM 76-10-TOO

đ T

## Greene: But Begins Investigation

A Continued from B-1

hour December 1995 tell-all news conference, she acknowledged the money came from her father. And she claimed Joe — posing as a millionaire whose funds were temporarily tied up — tricked her father into loaning him \$4 million. About half of that went into the campaign.

said he could neither confirm nor the long-awaited probe bethe long-awaited probe betime of confidentiality restrictions.

But representatives from the FBC's office of general counsel recently have contacted at least three former campaign workers in connection with the ongoing probe.

Former Greene campaign manager and one-time congressional aide David Harmer said he was interviewed for about four hours

consecutive days just the consecutive days just the case of the ca

Another ex-campaign manager, Kaylin Loveland, was questioned about a month ago, and former Greene political consultant Peter Valcarce was interviewed in mid-August.

None of the three would talk about specific issues covered, citing confidentiality provisions. They did say the interviews were wide-ranging, and that many questions covered familiar territory, reminiscent of the earlier Justice Department case, which included an intensive grand jury investigation.

Greene pointed out the FEC investigation may be connected to the complaint she filed in March 1996 accusing former husband and one-time campaign treasurer Waldholtz of 858 violations of election law.

Stirton confirmed that complaint still is open. But he refused to comment on whether the FEC has initiated its own probe to look at a wider cast of potential wrongdoers, including Greene or her father.

However, there are indications the investigation is a new one and not limited to allegations and issues raised in Greene's complaint.

she felt free to talk about that because she was listed as a party, along with Waldholts.

But Loveland declined to dis-

that matter much earlier. She said

in connection with

But Loveland declined to discuss the more recent interview session — except to confirm that it occurred.

"It was just an interview with the FEC and I can't really tell you what the subject of it was," she said, adding she was following the instructions of agency officials.

Greene said she did not know how the investigation is "structured" and whether it includes or is separate from the complaint she filed in early 1996.

The only thing certain, she added, was that "they're looking at the 1994 campaign."

Greene also ran for Congress in 1992, but narrowly lost to Democrat Karen Shepherd, who Greene then returned to defeat two years later. There have been questions about the financing of that campaign because Greene used proceeds from the sale of a house to her parents, although county records indicate the transaction was not finalized until after the election.

The former congresswoman

who is exploring "a variety" of employment spitons in Utah, said she is confident the current probe will end as did the first one — laying all culpability at the feet of Waldholts.

"The Justice Department after a year's extensive investigation discovered it all went back to Joe. I'm sure the FEC will find the same thing," Greene said.

Nhe said there "chouldn't be

She said there 'shouldn't be any risk" of fines against her or her father.

"There have been cases when there have been rogue treasurer who have used the campaigns for their own purposes and in each othose instances, the treasurer habeen fined but the candidate anthe campaign have not been," she said.

Waldholt: already faces a \$ million civil judgment in 3rd Ditrict Court for lying to D. Forre Greene to obtain loans from hir Waldholts, who remains in fede al prison and is purported broke, has paid just \$20,000 against that year-old debt.

Greene said her ex-husbancability to pay any judgment FEC fines is beside the pointwhat he did needs to be Chandweledged," she said.